

87 CHANCERY LANE, LONDON WC2A 1ET
T. 020 7242 6018 F. 020 3602 5538 E. info@nicholaswoolf.com
www.nicholaswoolf.com

BREXIT MEANS BREAKFAST

By Nicholas Woolf, Director and Principal, Nicholas Woolf & Co

Yesterday I sat on a panel with Beth Rigby, deputy political editor for Sky News, Stewart Jackson, former special adviser and chief of staff to David Davis and Chris White of Newington Communications, who chaired a discussion with an invited audience on the prospects of the current Brexit negotiations and their possible political consequences. At the end of the meeting, the general consensus appeared to be that Mrs May, who was said to be fairly private about the real progress made in the negotiations, was unlikely to remain as Prime Minister much beyond 29th March 2019, although she may try and hang on; there being no clear and popular successor.

It was thought that Mrs May would present the outcome of the negotiations as the only deal on the table and the alternative would be no deal; the cliff edge. The shape of any such deal is currently unknown. There were said to be many in Parliament who would prefer an EEA/Norwegian-style deal graduating in time to a Canada++ arrangement. However, time is running out and the Parliamentary timetable was unlikely to permit this. In any event the Europeans would then have to agree it.

There was concern that much of the Government's efforts had centred on the trade of goods rather than services. Services represent 79% of the UK's exports. Since yesterday, though the details are currently uncertain, matters appear to have moved on.

Yesterday's Financial Times indicated that agreement had been reached over the banking clearing systems. Today, the Times has reported that the Government has agreed an equivalence structure for financial services. A member of the European Parliament, speaking on BBC Radio 4's Today Programme, made it clear that, as the UK will be a third country once it leaves the EU, full passporting of financial services was never on the table nor could it be. The Government, commenting on the Times report, have not yet confirmed the situation; saying that the report was "a rather rose-tinted interpretation of where we have got to...people should not get ahead of themselves".

The question remains; are we any further forward? Given that Parliament will want to approve any deal is, there enough time to do any deal at all without the necessity of an agreed extension of time of the Article 50 notice? Dominic Raab indicated yesterday that a deal may be finalised by 21st November 2018. His department later distanced itself from that remark by clarifying that there is no set date for negotiations to conclude. Mrs May said in reply to Parliamentary questions that there would be no extension beyond the 29th March 2019.

Of course Mrs May couldn't say anything else; any concession that the UK is relying on an extension of time would weaken the UK's negotiating position. However, after decades of experience of time-limited negotiation, I remain to be convinced that the negotiations wouldn't be extended beyond the deadline if an agreement is near but not complete.

© Nicholas Woolf, Director and Principal, Nicholas Woolf & Co.

1st November 2018



DISCLAIMER

This note comprises the view of the author as at 1st November 2018. This note is not a substitute for legal advice. Information may be incorrect or out of date, and may not constitute a definitive or complete statement of the law or the legal market in any area. This note is not intended to constitute advice in any specific situation. You should take legal advice in specific situations. All implied warranties and conditions are excluded, to the maximum extent permitted by law.